The Peter Pan Syndrome and the Crisis of Agency: Rethinking the Role of the Eritrean Educated Class

Dr. Gebre Gebremariam

Introduction: The Crisis of Intellectual Agency and the Peter Pan Syndrome[1]

For over three decades, the narrative of the Eritrean democratic struggle has been defined by a jarring and persistent paradox. On one side is a diaspora and an educated class that is, by any objective measure, exceptionally well-resourced. This class possesses significant intellectual capital, global professional standing, and the financial means to influence international policy. On the other side is a political reality marked by chronic fragmentation, tactical stagnation, and a failure to present a unified, credible alternative to the status quo in Asmara. While international observers and human rights advocates rightly point to the brutal, monolithic nature of the ruling regime as the primary obstacle to change, a more subtle and corrosive internal malaise is at work within the opposition camp: the "Peter Pan Syndrome."

In the realm of psychology, Peter Pan Syndrome characterizes an adult who is unable or unwilling to transition into the responsibilities of adulthood, preferring the safety of a prolonged adolescence where others make the difficult choices. When transposed onto the Eritrean political landscape, this syndrome describes an educated elite trapped in a state of "political adolescence." It is a condition where the elite remains content with the "play" of politics - the endless seminars, the heated social media debates, and the performative rhetoric - while retreating from the "work" of politics: the grueling, often unrewarding task of building institutions, forging compromises, and managing the complexities of a diverse national movement.

Crucially, this failure to launch into political maturity is often shielded by a convenient and defensive narrative. A segment of the educated class frequently claims that the organized opposition is "closed," "anti-intellectual," or "unwelcoming" to new ideas. They portray themselves as sidelined observers, waiting for a perfect, professional

---

[1] "*The Peter Pan Syndrome in the Eritrean Politics*". The themes discussed here parallel my earlier analysis of ***"Peter Pan Syndrome (ወትሩ ሕንጭል"*** as a lens for understanding the lack of competent and effective leadership (Dysfunctional Leadership) in the struggle for democratic transition in Eritrea, originally presented in February 2016.

political vehicle to emerge before they commit their talents. However, a rigorous analysis suggests that this claim is less a reflection of reality and more a psychological mask for passivity. In any liberation or democratic movement, "the door" is not something one waits to have opened by others; it is something one forces open through initiative and organizational labor.

For the Eritrean educated class, the current impasse is not a result of a lack of opportunity or a lack of "space" within existing entities like the Organizations of Eritrean Unity (OEU) and others. Rather, it is a crisis of agency. By blaming the "unorganized" nature of the struggle for their own inaction, the educated elite engages in a self-fulfilling prophecy. They remain in the comfort of the bystander, avoiding the accountability that comes with leadership. For Eritrea to move toward a democratic transition, this class must first confront its own "Peter Pan" tendencies. They must recognize that in a nation-building struggle, education is not a status that entitles one to be served, but a mandate to lead, to organize, and to take responsibility for the messy reality of political change. The transition from autocracy to democracy cannot begin until the architects of that transition finally decide to grow up.

I. Debunking the Myth of the "Closed Door": Passive Intellectualism vs. Active Engagement

A frequent and convenient refrain among the passive educated class is the accusation that existing opposition entities - such as the **Organizations of Eritrean Unity (OEU), Yiakil** and various other political formations - are structurally "closed," inherently hostile to intellectuals, or fearful of the influence of the learned. This narrative portrays the opposition as a collection of insular "old guards" who gatekeep the struggle, thereby preventing the "enlightened" diaspora from contributing. However, when subjected to even a cursory examination of the facts, this narrative reveals itself to be a convenient myth - a rhetorical shield used to justify political inertia.

The Evidence of Intellectual Participation

The reality of the Eritrean struggle tells a much different story. For decades, the organized opposition has served as the primary home for many of Eritrea's most dedicated and brilliant intellectuals. These individuals have not merely observed the struggle from the safety of social media; they have lived it. My lived experience has shown that organizations such as the **OEU, Yiakil**, and others include within their ranks highly accomplished professionals - PhDs, lawyers, seasoned diplomats, and technical experts - who bring decades of experience in organized political work, often spanning twenty to thirty years. These are people who understood early on that an education is not a badge of status to be admired, but a tool of liberation to be applied.

The "door" has never been locked; rather, it has been held open for years by those already doing the heavy lifting.

The Lack of Specificity in Accusations

When the "blamers" are challenged to provide evidence for their claims of exclusion, the argument invariably collapses. Those who decry a lack of "accommodation" are rarely able to name a single specific entity within the opposition camp that has an official policy, or even an informal practice, of rejecting educated participants. There is no vetting process that discriminates against academic credentials; there is no ideological barrier that prevents an intellectual from drafting a policy paper, organizing a local chapter, or contributing to a strategic roadmap. The claim of being "unwelcome" is almost always a generalization used to mask a personal reluctance to engage with the gritty, unpolished reality of grassroots organizing.

Desperation for Expertise, Not Fear of It

Contrary to the myth of "fearful leaders," most opposition organizations are, in fact, desperate for the specialized capital that the educated class possesses. In a struggle to build a democratic alternative, there is an urgent and constant need for constitutional scholars to draft frameworks, economists to plan for post-transition recovery, and strategic communicators to engage the international community. Far from fearing the educated class, these organizations are actively seeking them out.

The disconnect, therefore, is not one of "exclusion" but of "expectation." Many in the educated class expect to be ushered into leadership positions as a reward for their credentials alone, without having to demonstrate the commitment, loyalty, or organizational discipline required in a political struggle. They mistake the organization's demand for *work* as a "lack of accommodation." In truth, the organized struggle welcomes the intellectual, but it has no room for the "tourist." By characterizing the opposition as a closed circle, the passive elite avoids the uncomfortable truth: that they are not being kept out; they are simply refusing to walk in and do the work.

II. Passivity as a Choice: The Heart of the Syndrome

The defining characteristic of the Peter Pan Syndrome within the Eritrean political landscape is the persistent, childlike expectation of being "invited," "courted," or "accommodated." This mindset betrays a fundamental misunderstanding of the nature of political agency and the civic duty of the educated. In a nation-building project or a liberation struggle, the role of the intellectual is not that of a guest waiting for a formal reception, but that of an architect who takes responsibility for the site. The

syndrome thrives when individuals treat their education as a status that entitles them to be served, rather than a mandate to serve.

Education is Active, Not Passive: The Intellectual as a Tool-User

True education is not a static credential to be displayed like a trophy; it is a dynamic tool designed for problem-solving. When members of the educated class complain that existing opposition structures are "disorganized" or "ineffective," they are inadvertently critiquing their own failure to act.

The "adult" response to a flawed institution is not to retreat into the safety of silence or the cynicism of social media commentary. If a house is burning, a person who understands fire safety does not stand on the sidewalk waiting for the owner to ask for help; they grab an extinguisher and join the effort. If the opposition camp lacks sophisticated diplomatic strategies, legal frameworks, or administrative discipline, it is the moral and professional obligation of the educated class to inject those qualities into the movement. To possess the knowledge of how to improve a struggle and yet withhold it until "properly accommodated" is an abandonment of intellectual integrity.

The Fallacy of the "Waiting Room": Consumerist Politics

Many among the diaspora elite have adopted a "consumerist" approach to revolution. They sit in a metaphorical "waiting room," observing the various opposition entities as if they were products on a shelf, waiting for a "perfect" political vehicle to be built by someone else before they decide to "buy in." This is the height of political immaturity.

In a national crisis, there is no "service provider" who will deliver a ready-made democracy. Organizations are built through the sweat and compromise of those who show up. By waiting for a flawless organization to emerge, the passive elite ensures that such an organization will never exist - because they are withholding the very expertise required to build it. This "failure to launch" stems from the childlike belief that someone else - perhaps an older generation, a foreign power, or a "charismatic leader" - will do the heavy lifting of state-building while the intellectuals remain in a state of perpetual preparation.

The Blame Game as a Shield: The Comfort of Moral Superiority

Accusing the opposition of being "unaccommodating" or "hostile" serves a vital psychological function for the "Peter Pan" intellectual: it acts as a shield against the fear of failure. Actual political organizing is messy, risky, and requires one to compromise their ego for the sake of the collective. It involves the possibility of being wrong and the certainty of being criticized.

By staying on the sidelines and blaming the "backwardness" of the organized struggle, the educated individual maintains a pristine, albeit useless, sense of moral and intellectual superiority. They can claim they would have saved the country "if only" they had been properly recognized. This blame game allows them to avoid the grueling labor of building institutional trust and the vulnerability of actual leadership. It is a form of "political escapism" that allows the individual to feel like a hero in their own mind without ever having to set foot on the battlefield of real-world politics. In the end, this passivity is a choice - a choice to remain a "lost boy" in a diaspora Neverland rather than becoming a responsible citizen of a struggling nation.

III. The Fallacy of the Deterrent: Duty Beyond Validation

In the high-stakes environment of a national struggle, the political landscape is rarely polite. It is often a cacophony of voices shaped by decades of trauma, loss, and frustration. Within this context, it must be emphasized that even when the educated class faces sharp criticism, blame for past inaction, or allegations - whether justified or baseless - from frustrated quarters, such friction must never serve as a legitimate deterrent to participation. To allow "hurt feelings" or a lack of social validation to stall one's contribution is to prioritize personal ego over national survival.

The Trap of Conditional Patriotism

A hallmark of political maturity is the understanding that national duty is an absolute obligation, not a conditional one. It is not a contract that only remains valid as long as one is praised, "properly" welcomed, or shielded from the frustrations of the masses. Many within the diaspora elite exhibit a form of "conditional patriotism," where they offer their expertise only if the environment is sufficiently "accommodating" or respectful of their status.

However, in a struggle for democratic transition, there is no "red carpet." If an educated individual feels unfairly judged for their previous silence, their perceived "late arrival" to the struggle, or their distance from the grassroots, the only credible and adult response is to prove the critics wrong through consistent, disciplined work. One does not win a political argument by retreating; one wins it by becoming indispensable to the movement's success.

Rising Above the Noise of Frustration

It is important to recognize the source of the "blame" often directed at the educated class. Much of it stems from a genuine and painful desperation among those who have been in the trenches for decades, watching their country bleed while those with the most resources remained largely on the sidelines. Whether the specific allegations

against the elite are true or false is secondary to the larger reality: the nation is in crisis.

Rising above this internal recrimination is a requirement of leadership. A mature political actor views criticism not as a barrier to entry, but as a symptom of the very disorganization they are called to help fix. If the discourse is toxic, the intellectual's job is to sanitize it with reason. If the relationships are fractured, the professional's job is to repair them with diplomacy. To use the "tone" of the opposition as an excuse for passivity is to admit that one's education has provided no moral or psychological resilience.

Political Adolescence vs. Moral Resilience

To use external criticism or "allegations" as a justification for continued withdrawal is the ultimate manifestation of the Peter Pan Syndrome. It is the retreat of the adolescent who "takes his ball and goes home" because the other children didn't play by his rules. In the adult world of state-building, leadership requires a thick skin and a steady hand.

True intellectual integrity demands that one does what is right because the survival of the Eritrean people requires it, regardless of the current climate of opinion. The struggle for a democratic Eritrea is not a search for personal validation, nor is it a social club where one must be "liked" to participate. It is a mission that transcends the desire for immediate approval. When the educated class finally accepts that their duty to Eritrea is independent of the praise of others, they will have finally achieved the political maturity necessary to lead the nation toward its democratic future. One does not join the organized struggle because it is comfortable; one joins because it is necessary.

IV. The Impact: Sustaining the Status Quo

When the educated class chooses a "prolonged adolescence" of performative activism and sideline-blaming, the consequences are not merely personal or academic; they are a national tragedy. This collective "failure to launch" into mature political action acts as a silent engine that sustains the current regime. By prioritizing ideological purity and the safety of the bystander over the messy realities of organization, the elite inadvertently serves as a pillar of the very status quo they claim to oppose.

The Vacuum of Leadership and the Forfeiture of Legitimacy

By avoiding the organized struggle, the educated elite creates a dangerous vacuum of leadership. Political power, like nature, abhors a vacuum. When those with the

technical skills and intellectual capacity to lead refuse to enter the arena, the leadership of the movement is left to whoever is willing to do the work - often with fewer resources and less specialized training.

Furthermore, there is a fundamental moral logic at play: one cannot forfeit the responsibility of leadership and then reserve the right to complain about the quality of those who lead. When the "Peter Pan" intellectual critiques organizations like the OEU from the safety of the sidelines, they ignore the fact that an organization is only as effective as the people who comprise it. By withholding their participation, they are the direct cause of the very "lack of sophistication" they criticize. This cycle ensures that a "Government-in-Waiting" never matures, leaving the country vulnerable to chaos should the current regime suddenly collapse.

Validation of Authoritarianism: The Dictator's Greatest Gift

The regime in Asmara thrives on a specific narrative: that the opposition is a fragmented, incompetent, and "unripe" collection of squabbling individuals who could never provide the stability required to govern Eritrea. Every time the educated class enters a cycle of internal splintering, or spends more energy deconstructing a fellow activist's grammar than deconstructing the regime's hold on power, they validate this propaganda.

A "Peter Pan" elite is a gift to the dictatorship. Authoritarianism does not just survive through the strength of the secret police; it survives through the perceived weakness of the alternative. When the educated class remains in a state of political adolescence - unable to form a united front, unable to draft a consensus-based constitution, and unable to move past 30-year-old grudges - they send a message to the world and to the Eritrean people that the current system, however brutal, is the only thing preventing total state failure. Their passivity is, in effect, a vote of confidence for the status quo.

Betrayal of the Masses: The Erosion of National Hope

Perhaps the most devastating impact of this syndrome is the betrayal of the Eritrean people living inside the country. For the youth in the trenches, the mothers waiting for disappeared sons, and the conscripts trapped in indefinite national service, the educated diaspora is viewed as the "brain trust" of the nation. They look to this class to provide a roadmap, a unified diplomatic voice, and a credible vision for a post-transition Eritrea.

When the elite chooses the comfort of passivity or the indulgence of "gatekeeping" over the responsibility of action, they shatter that hope. The "Peter Pan" syndrome creates a profound trust deficit. If the most "enlightened" members of society cannot

find a way to work together in a functional organization, the average citizen loses faith in the very possibility of democracy. This erosion of trust makes the people inside the country less likely to take the risks necessary for internal change, as they see no "safe harbor" or organized alternative waiting to support them. In this sense, the political immaturity of the elite is a direct weight on the shoulders of the most vulnerable Eritreans, prolonging their suffering by failing to provide the leadership they so desperately deserve.

V. Overcoming the Syndrome: A Path to Political Maturity

To break the debilitating cycle of the "failure to launch," the Eritrean educated class must undergo a collective psychological and political transformation. This requires moving beyond the safety of armchair criticism and adopting a new ethos of **Radical Responsibility.** Political maturity is not granted by decree; it is earned through the disciplined application of one's talents to the collective cause. The transition from "Peter Pan" adolescence to adult leadership involves four critical shifts in mindset and action.

Acknowledge Existing Labors: The Foundation of Humility

The first step toward maturity is the abandonment of the "Year Zero" mentality - the arrogant belief that nothing meaningful has been achieved before one's own arrival on the scene. The educated elite must stop dismissively characterizing the organized opposition as a relic of the past. Instead, they must acknowledge the immense sacrifice and persistence of those who have kept the flame of resistance alive for decades.

Recognition of entities like the **Organizations of Eritrean Unity (OEU)** and the many intellectuals already working within such frameworks is the first step toward national unity. These organizations are the repositories of institutional memory and the survivors of the regime's relentless attempts to dismantle the opposition. A mature intellectual understands that they do not need to "invent" a struggle; they need to "invest" in one that already exists, bringing their specialized skills to enhance and modernize the foundations already laid by veterans of the movement.

Move from Critics to Architects: Taking Ownership of the Mess

In a state of political adolescence, the intellectual acts as a theater critic, sitting in the dark and pointing out the flaws in the performance. In political maturity, the intellectual becomes the architect and the builder. If the opposition is "unorganized," it is the duty of the educated to provide the organizational templates. If the discourse is "unintellectual," it is their duty to elevate it through high-quality research, rigorous debate, and strategic planning.

Maturity means taking ownership of the problem rather than describing it from a distance. If an educated Eritrean identifies a gap in the struggle - be it in diplomatic outreach, grassroots mobilization, or post-transition economic planning - their "adult" responsibility is to fill that gap. To complain about a lack of organization while refusing to organize is a recursive loop of futility. The transition begins when the elite stops asking "Why isn't this working?" and starts declaring "Here is how we will fix it."

The Duty of Agency: Education as a Moral Debt

Being part of the educated class of a nation in crisis is not a personal achievement; it is a moral debt. In a country where educational opportunities have been weaponized, stunted, or used as a tool for state service, those who have managed to acquire advanced knowledge and global perspectives carry a "National Trust." This debt is not paid through social media "likes" or private grievances; it is paid through the "hard work" of institution building.

This agency must manifest in tangible outputs: the drafting of transitional legal frameworks, the creation of shadow cabinets, the implementation of sophisticated diplomatic lobbying, and the development of consensus-based frameworks that can withstand the pressures of a post-PFDJ era. Political maturity recognizes that "Agency" means being the primary driver of change, not a secondary passenger waiting for the "right conditions" to arrive.

Rejecting the "Blame-First" Culture: The Initiative of the Adult

The hallmarks of Peter Pan Syndrome are the externalization of blame and the expectation of being catered to. The transition to adulthood requires a total rejection of the "Blame-First" culture. The passive intellectual often asks, "Why hasn't the opposition reached out to me?" or "Why haven't they made it easier for me to join?"

The mature political actor reverses these questions. They ask: "What have I built for the struggle today?" "Whom have I reconciled with?" and "How have I used my professional standing to advance the cause of the Eritrean people?" By shifting the focus from *expectation* to *contribution*, the educated class can finally dismantle the barrier of mistrust. When the elite takes the initiative - joining existing organizations, respecting their protocols, and enhancing their capacities - they demonstrate that they are ready to lead.

Conclusion: The End of Political Neverland

The "Peter Pan Syndrome" is not merely a psychological curiosity or a topic for academic debate; it is a profound national luxury that a country in the throes of a prolonged crisis can no longer afford. For Eritrea, the cost of this collective "failure to

launch" is measured in decades of lost potential, the persistent exodus of its youth, and the continued suspension of constitutional rule. The educated class and the diaspora elite possess the intellectual, professional, and financial "keys" to the country's democratic future. However, these keys are functionally useless as long as they are held by hands that refuse to engage in the heavy, often unrefined labor of political work.

Retiring the Shield of "Exclusion"

It is time to permanently retire the convenient narrative that the organized opposition is a "closed circle" or an "old boys' club" that is hostile to new blood. This myth has served for too long as a psychological sanctuary for the passive, allowing them to maintain a sense of superiority while avoiding the risks of commitment. The reality, as demonstrated by organizations like the **Organizations of Eritrean Unity (OEU), Yiakil** and others, is that the struggle for a democratic Eritrea is an open field. It is a field that has been tilled and kept fertile by those who have remained in the trenches for twenty, thirty, or forty years. These entities are not waiting to exclude the educated; they are waiting for architects who are willing to help build the infrastructure of a new state.

From Spectatorship to Stewardship

The transition from autocracy to democracy requires a fundamental shift in identity: moving from being a spectator to being an architect. A spectator watches the struggle, critiques the players, and waits for a "better game." An architect understands that if the building is leaning, they must grab the level and the plumb line. If the opposition lacks cohesion, the educated must provide the glue of consensus. If the movement lacks a clear diplomatic voice, the professionals must draft the communiqués.

To those who have spent decades in the organized struggle: your persistence is the bridge upon which the future must be built. To those still standing on the sidelines, paralyzed by the fear of "imperfection" or the ego of "non-accommodation": the era of political adolescence must end. Education is not a badge of honor; it is a call to action. It is a mandate to lead, to organize, and to sacrifice.

Ultimately, this transition is marked by the shift from a search for personal validation to the acceptance of national duty. The educated class must move past the fear of criticism and the sting of past recriminations, recognizing that the struggle for a democratic Eritrea is far larger than any individual ego. Intellectual integrity is not found in being "liked" or "accommodated," but in being effective and resilient. By choosing to work within the organized ranks of the **OEU**, the elite can finally prove their value through consistent action rather than abstract argument, demonstrating that their commitment to the people is more powerful than any internal friction.

The Responsibility of the Individual

In the final analysis, the responsibility for Eritrea's democratic transition does not lie with an abstract "international community" or a hypothetical "future leader." It lies with every educated Eritrean who possesses the capacity to think, to plan, and to act. The "keys" to the future are in your hands. But a key only fulfills its purpose when it is inserted into the lock and turned with effort.

The time has come for the Eritrean elite to grow up, step into the arena, and take their place within the organized struggle. True intellectual maturity is found in the realization that the struggle is exactly what we make of it. If we want a sophisticated, unified, and effective opposition, we must be the ones to build it. The gates are open; the work is waiting. It is time to leave Neverland and join the arduous, noble journey toward a free and democratic Eritrea.

The time for sitting on the sidelines of history has ended; the democratic transition of Eritrea demands that its most enlightened minds move from being critics to being architects. We issue a clarion call to the Eritrean educated class: your intellect is the vanguard of our liberation, but it only gains power through organized action. *The Organizations of Eritrean Unity (OEU)* stands as a ready and inclusive home for your talents, offering a structured, professional, and principled platform where your expertise - be it in law, economics, diplomacy, or administration - can be directly applied to the building of a constitutional state. Do not wait for a perfect moment or a formal invitation; the OEU is your house, and the struggle is your responsibility. By joining the OEU, you trade the passivity of "Peter Pan" adolescence for the transformative power of national stewardship. Step forward, join the organized ranks, and let us build the Eritrea our people deserve, together.

Take the First Step Toward National Responsibility:

A. **Membership Inquiry:**
   Phone
   +972 54-727-6463
   Messenger
   ውድብ ሓድነት ኤርትራውያን

   WhatsApp number
   +972 54-727-6463
B. **Social Media:**
   Youtube: Tv meley; https://www.youtube.com/@tvmeley;
   Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=TV%
C. **Website:** https://www.meley.org/